

PROSOCO®

Consolideck LS® test results

use these links to learn more about PROSOCO





Our website



Consoldeck® concrete flooring products

You. Us. The project.

6 800 255 4255

PROSOCO.COM

Abrasion Resistance - Taber Abraser

LS[®] & competition vs. Untreated Control

TEST

RESULT

Taber Abrasion Testing H22 Wheel, 1000 gram load using ASTM C1353

modified for concrete.

% Improvement vs. Control



Notes

This test establishes abrasion resistance of concrete to simulated foot traffic using grinding wheels under specifed loads for a specified time. The results show LS[®]-treated concrete reduced abrasion loss by 59% compared to untreated concrete. Other concrete hardeners had less reduction of abrasion.



Hardened & Polished vs. Untreated Control

TEST

RESULT

% Improvement vs. Control

Taber Abrasion Testing H22 Wheel, 1000 gram load

Control	100%
Hardened & Polished	521%

Notes Tiles received one coat of LS®, then were polished to 3,000 grit. Graph shows more than 500 percent improve-

ment in abrasion resistance over untreated, unpolished control.





Abrasion Resistance - Micro Abraser

LS® vs. Untreated Control

TEST	RESULT		Notes	
Micro Abrasion Resistance Testing ASTM C418 Abrasion Resistance of Concrete	Average Weight Loss Grams	% Improvement	This test evaluates the relative resistance of a treated concrete surface to air-driven sand	
Standard Finish Concrete Treated with LS® Untreated Control	0.296 0.407	27	that the LS®-treated standard concrete had 27% less abrasion loss and the LS®-treated shake-on concrete had 40% less abrasion loss than	
Green-colored (shake-on) Concrete Treated with LS® Untreated Control	0.164 0.275	40	untreated concrete.	

Water Vapor Transmission (breathability)

LS [®] vs. Untreated Control					
TEST	RESULT				
ASTM E96 Water Vapor Transmission of Materials	WVT retained	Notes This test determines the rate of water vapor passage through a material or applied film on a substrate under controlled temperature and humidity. The results show the IS®-treated			
Concrete Treated with LS®	100 percent	concrete allows the same rate of water vapor transmission as the untreated concrete.			

Stain Resistance

LS® & ^{LS}Guard[®] vs. Untreated Control

TEST

RESULT

Based on ASTM D1308-87 (1998) Standard Test Method for Effect of Household Chemicals

Notes

This test determines the ability of treated concrete to resist staining from common household agents like coffee, red wine, and vegetable oil. The results show that LS^{\oplus} -treated concrete resisted an average 23% of the staining on troweled, honed and polished concrete, while ^{LS}Guard[®] resisted an average 69% of the staining compared to untreated concrete.

% Reduction in staining



Fig. 3 - % Average reduction in staining by finish

Slip Resistance

ASTM C1028 - Determining the Static Coefficient of Friction

LS® Treated

Finish Dry Wet Steel Troweled 0.720 0.664 0.759 0.654 Honed (up to 100 grit) Polished (up to 800 grit) 0.865 0.645 Highly polished (up to 3,000 grit) 0.919 0.766

Notes This test determines the static coefficient of friction of flooring surfaces under wet and dry conditions The results show that the tested surfaces exceed OSHA and ADA recommendations for slip-resistance.

Adhesion

LS[®] vs. Untreated Control

TEST

ASTM D4541 Pull-Off of Coatings Using Type II Tester

Steel-troweled concrete

Treated with LS® Untreated control

Notes

PROSOCO

CONCRETE FLOORING PRODUCTS

This test evaluates pull-off strength (adhesion) of a coating applied to a hard surface like concrete. The test results show that concrete treated with LS® exhibited greater coatings-adhesion than untreated.



Consolideck[®]

LS® & ^{LS}Guard® Treated

Dry	Wet
0.841	0.600
0.836	0.601
0.822	0.606
0.841	0.695



RESULT

pounds per square inch

Steel-troweled concrete 483 400

CFG03 © PROSOCO 06-2015